

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/536,642	05/27/2005	David N. Roundhill	US020471US	4312	
24737 PHILIPS INTI	7590 04/14/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3001			BEKELE, MEKONEN T		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/536,642	ROUNDHILL ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
MEKONEN BEKELE	2624				
	10/536,642 Examiner				

	WENCHEN BENEEL	2024	ĺ				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 23 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checket. Any repty received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	1001100				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
	21 See attached Notice of Non Co	mpliant Amandment /	DTOL 224)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.							
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	P10/58/08) Paper No(s)						
10 : 4 41 11							
/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	/MEKONEN BEKELE/						
Supervisory Faterit Examiner, Art Offit 2024	Examiner, Art Unit 2624						

Continuation of 11. NOTE: Applicants argue that Sumanaweera (US Patent No. 6475149 B1) does not teach "surveying the image to collect motion data".

As to the above argument examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant because of the following fleaton greasons: Summaneveral teaches the Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler image data that representing flowing fluid of the Vessel border (Fig.1, col 2 line 25-26, col.3 lines 23-24). In order to detect the Doppler image data the processor 18 need to survey the tissue- to- flied border of the Vessel.

Thus, as best understood by examiner, the limitation "Doppler processor 18 detects a Doppler image data that representing flowing fluid of the Vessel border inherently teaches "surveying the image to collect motion data"

Examiner would like to point out that claim language is given its broadest reasonable interpretation. The specification is not measure of invention. Therefore, limitations contained therein can not be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. It re Sporck, 55CCPA 743, 386 F. 2d 924, 155 USPQ 687 (1968).